REMARKS

Claims 1-34 are pending in the present application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 10,

11, 13, 19, 32 and 34 have been amended and claims 2-4 and 12 have been cancelled. No new

matter has been added. It is respectfully submitted that this Amendment is fully responsive to the

Office Action dated September 25, 2005.

Claim Objection:

Claim 32 stands objected in item 1 of the Action due to a minor informality. However,

claim 32 has been amended to the informality. Accordingly, withdrawal of the objection is

respectfully requested.

35USC 103(a):

With regard to the merits of this case, the Examiner now relies on the newly cited

reference Ryhart et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,340,892) in setting forth the following rejection:

Claims 1-34 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryhart et al.

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Page 9

Independent claim 1, as amended, now calls for measuring a plurality of moisture content levels within said structural components of said portion of the interior of the structure; determining if each of said plurality of moisture content levels is within a desired level; issuing a moisture level compliance certificate if the result of said determining step is that each of said plurality of moisture content levels is below the desired level; and issuing a moisture level non-compliance certificate if the results of said determining step is that at least one of said plurality of moisture contents levels is not below the desired level. Independent claims 11 and 19 including similar features.

The Examiner asserts on page 3 of the Action that in the <u>Rynhart</u> reference, moisture content is determined (see column 1 lines 25 and 26) for structural components of the interior of a structure (see column 2 line 65 through column 3 line 2).

However, while <u>Rynhart</u> may disclose measuring moisture content for dry wall and plaster, <u>Rynhart</u> is also concerned with measuring moisture content for siding and roofing. In other words, <u>Rynhart</u> clearly teaches using a moisture meter 1 to measure the moisture content for interior structures and as well as exterior structures.

As such, it is submitted that <u>Rynhart</u> is simply not concerned with determining if each of the plurality measured content levels is within a desired level in order to certify that an interior

portion of a structure is free from mold, moisture and any damage to the structure or components thereof that may result from mold or moisture.

The Examiner also asserts in page 3 of the Action, "that though the certificate may be a simpler reporting means than a detailed report as the one described in the Rynhart reference, utilizing the certificate in lieu of the report does not provide any benefit nor produce any unexpected result over the prior art."

However, it is respectfully submitted that it appears that the Examiner has still not fully appreciated the features of the present invention. More specifically, in the present invention, only if it determined that each of the measured moisture content levels is within a desired level is a moisture level compliance certificate issued, which provides the benefit of giving notice to all interested parties that an interior portion of a structure has been sufficiently tested for moisture content and that the interior portion is free from mold and moisture.

In contrast, the report of <u>Rynhart</u> is <u>not</u> even concerned with testing an interior portion of a structure for moisture content and clearly does <u>not</u> provide the benefit of indicating that an interior portion of a structure is free from mold and moisture.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner has failed to establish

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.114

Serial No. 10/621,860

Attorney Docket No. 062373

a prima facie case of obviousness, since the Rynhart reference fails to disclose each of the

following features recited in claim 1 measuring a plurality of moisture content levels within said

structural components of said portion of the interior of the structure; determining if each of said

plurality of moisture content levels is within a desired level; issuing a moisture level compliance

certificate if the result of said determining step is that each of said plurality of moisture content

levels is below the desired level; and issuing a moisture level non-compliance certificate if the

results of said determining step is that at least one of said plurality of moisture contents levels is

not below the desired level

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

Westerman, Haftori, Daniels & Adrian, LLP

Thomas E. Brown

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 44,450

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TEB/jl

Page 12